

Meeting Subject:	LFI Steering Committee Meeting #7
Meeting Agenda:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Context Setting • Insights from Second Round of Focus Groups • Draft Minimum Viable Plan • Proposed Voting Approach • Next Steps
Date:	Monday, August 29, 2022 (option 1) and Tuesday, August 30, 2022 (option 2)
Meeting Purpose:	To discuss takeaways from latest funder focus groups, share Minimum Viable Plan (MVP), and align on approach for MVP vote
Meeting Facilitator(s):	Dana O'Donovan (MIBD), Rhonda Evans (MIBD), Elisha Desmangles (MIBD), Manan Mehta (MIBD), Jenny Hoang (MIBD), Bridget Brennan (MIBD)
Attendees	Lou Erste, Amy Morgenstern, Quentin Wilson, Brad Dudding, Shelley Metzenbaum, Debra Natenshon, Carmen Moreno-Rivera

Insights from Second Round of Focus Groups

- The Monitor Institute by Deloitte (MIBD) team shared insights from the second round of focus groups with ambassadors that are part of infrastructure funding organizations as well as insights from a 1:1 conversation with an org leader of a suggested partner org.
 - In the conversation, it was suggested that the LAC identify a pain point that the potential partner is having, and then try to think about ways to solve that pain point via alignment.
- Steering Committee members shared that the deep leadership knowledge and problem-solving expertise within the community could lend to additional value props (e.g., implementation, catalyst and convener to define outcome objectives) and should be further considered.

Draft Minimum Viable Plan (MVP)

- Steering Committee members provided feedback on the draft MVP document, including:
 - Clarifying the objectives of the document
 - Clarifying that a 70% super-majority vote is needed
 - Clarifying that the research process included soliciting input from the community
 - Clarifying that the Value Proposition working group will affirm the present value proposition and share it with the community
 - Clarifying that the LAC will pursue a potential partner organization to house the LAC
- Steering Committee members then discussed that the value proposition work that needs to happen as soon as possible will be light and nimble. The value proposition working group is not starting from scratch; they will use the survey results and insights from stakeholder conversations to draft a brief value proposition for the LAC.
- Steering Committee members also expressed support for a comprehensive FAQ document to complement the shorter version of the MVP document. Overall, there was broad support for the path forward as laid out in the MVP document.

Proposed Voting Approach

- The MIBD team reviewed the proposed approach for the LAC to vote on the continuation of the LFI process. Steering Committee members provided feedback on the approach, including concern that newer ambassadors may not have enough context on the community and the LFI to vote on the path forward, as well as additional considerations around the timing of the vote (i.e., having a vote at this point in the process vs. later in the process when deciding on, for example, a partner for the LAC).
 - The MIBD team plans to speak with Mario Morino to clarify these questions on behalf of the Steering Committee and to get feedback on the draft MVP and voting approach.

Next Steps

- Over the next few days, the MIBD team will:
 - Incorporate Steering Committee's feedback into the MVP document
 - Incorporate LST's feedback into the MVP document
 - Incorporate Mario Morino's feedback into the MVP document and voting approach